General Linear and Subspace Testing (Lab 6)

BST 235: Advanced Regression and Statistical Learning Alex Levis, Fall 2019

## 1 Review of general linear hypothesis testing

In the linear model

$$
\mathbb{E}_P(Y | \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}(P),
$$

assuming homoscedastic normal data (i.e.,  $Y - \mathbb{E}_P(Y | \mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ ), one may wish to perform inference on any wild function of  $\mathcal{B}(P)$ , e.g.,  $\chi(\mathcal{B}) = \left(\sin(\beta_1), \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_j^4\right)$ . In general, it is very difficult to achieve exact inference in this setting, without further restrictions on  $\chi(\cdot)$ .

Perhaps unsurprisingly, there is one class of functions of the regression parameters with very wellcharacterized distributions — linear functions! In particular, let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$ , and consider

$$
\chi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = A\boldsymbol{\beta}.
$$

Given the sample least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta}$ , a natural estimator of  $\chi(\beta(P))$  is  $\hat{\chi} = A\hat{\beta}$ . We have already seen under assumptions (A') and (B), (C), (D), that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} | X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\beta}(P), \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1})$ , so by properties of normal random vectors,

$$
\widehat{\chi} = A\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \,|\, \mathbb{X} \sim \mathcal{N}_q\left(A\boldsymbol{\beta}(P), \sigma^2 A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T\right).
$$

Last lecture, we encountered the following problem: how should we test the null hypothesis

$$
H_0: A\boldsymbol{\beta}(P) = \mathbf{0}_q,
$$

under the typical assumptions? This is known as a *general linear hypothesis* test, since we are asking whether there is additional linear structure among the components of  $\beta(P)$ , encoded in the q rows of A. Assuming rank $(A) = q$ , and defining  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 A (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T$ , we saw that  $\Sigma$  is strictly positive definite (i.e., invertible and positive semi-definite / "non-negative definite"). Therefore, using what we developed last lab, we could consider the rescaled random vector

$$
\Sigma^{-1/2} A \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \, | \, \mathbb{X} \sim \mathcal{N}_q(\Sigma^{-1/2} A \boldsymbol{\beta}(P), I_q) \stackrel{H_0}{\equiv} \mathcal{N}_q(\mathbf{0}_q, I_q).
$$

This is convenient as taking the squared norm of a standard multivariate normal vector, we get a (central) chi-squared distribution:

$$
\begin{split}\n\left(\Sigma^{-1/2} A \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)^{T} \Sigma^{-1/2} A \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\
&= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} A^{T} \Sigma^{-1} A \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\
&= \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} A^{T} \left(A (\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^{T}\right)^{-1} A \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sigma^{2}} \mid \mathbb{X} \stackrel{H_{0}}{\sim} \chi_{q}^{2}(0).\n\end{split}
$$

Note, however, that since  $\sigma^2$  is typically unknown, we are still not quite ready to use this to construct a hypothesis test.

One obvious idea is to plug in the (unbiased) estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_u^2 = \frac{1}{n-d} ||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||^2 = \frac{1}{n-d}\mathbf{Y}^T(I_n - \hat{P}_{\mathbb{X}})\mathbf{Y},$ and see if we can characterize the distribution of the statistic under  $H_0$ . Recall that

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{Y}^T (I_n - \widehat{P}_{\mathbb{X}}) \mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T (I_n - \widehat{P}_{\mathbb{X}}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \, | \, \mathbb{X} \sim \chi^2_{n-d}(0),
$$

where  $\epsilon = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{X}\beta(P)$ , since  $(I_n - \widehat{P}_\mathbb{X})$  is symmetric, idempotent, and has rank  $n - d$  when the columns of X are linearly independent. In other words,  $\frac{(n-d)\hat{\sigma}_u^2}{\sigma^2} \mid X \sim \chi^2_{n-d}(0)$ . We have seen that by Fisher-Cochran, the linear function  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and the quadratic function  $\hat{\sigma}_u^2$  are independent (conditional<br>on the source  $\mathbb{X}$ ). Therefore on the covariates X). Therefore,

$$
F \coloneqq \frac{\hat{\beta}^T A^T (A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{\beta}/q}{\mathbf{Y}^T (I_n - \hat{P}_{\mathbb{X}}) \mathbf{Y}/(n - d)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\hat{\beta}^T A^T (A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{\beta}/q}{\hat{\sigma}_u^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{\hat{\beta}^T A^T (A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{\beta}}{\sigma^2} \right\} / \left\{ \frac{1}{n - d} \cdot \frac{(n - d)\hat{\sigma}_u^2}{\sigma^2} \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \frac{\chi_q^2(0)/q}{\chi_{n - d}^2(0)/(n - d)} \equiv F_{q, n - d}(0), \text{ as the two chi-squared variables are independent.}
$$

Given this test statistic, we can construct a standard F-test, that rejects  $H_0$  with probability  $\alpha$  under the null hypothesis. Specifically, we should reject when  $F > F_{q,n-d,1-\alpha}(0)$ , where  $F_{q,n-d,1-\alpha}(0)$ is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -th quantile of the central F distribution with degrees of freedom q and  $n - d$ .

## 2 An alternative perspective

 $\overline{f}$ 

The linear model, equivalently stated in terms of the  $n$  observations in our sample, is

$$
\mathbb{E}_P(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X}\beta(P) = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{X}^{(j)}\beta_j(P) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

As we noted above, though, the null hypothesis,  $H_0$ :  $A\beta(P) = \mathbf{0}_q$ , imposes q additional linear constraints on the parameter vector  $\beta(P)$ . That is, under the null hypothesis, the mean of Y given X lies in a linear subspace of  $C(X)$ :

$$
V_0 := \left\{ \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \, \middle| \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d, A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}_q \right\} = \left\{ \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \, \middle| \, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{N}(A) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}).
$$

The null hypothesis is therefore equivalent to  $H_0 : \mathbb{E}_P(Y | X) \in V_0$ . This is an instance of a general subspace test setting, as we wish to know whether the (conditional) mean of the outcome lies in a particular subspace (i.e.,  $V_0$ ) of a larger assumed space (i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X})$ ). We study the abstract problem in the next section.

## 3 General subspace hypothesis testing

Consider the unconditional homoscedastic normal data setting,  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mu, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Let  $V_0, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be two linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $V_0 \subseteq V$ . We will consider testing

$$
H_0: \boldsymbol{\mu} \in V_0 \text{ versus } H_1: \boldsymbol{\mu} \in V \setminus V_0.
$$

The key idea will be to compare residuals under the null hypothesis with residuals from the model with no restrictions beyond  $\mu \in V$ . Specifically, let

$$
e^{(0)} = \mathbf{Y} - P_{V_0}(\mathbf{Y}) = P_{V_0^{\perp}}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{ and } e^{(1)} = \mathbf{Y} - P_V(\mathbf{Y}) = P_{V^{\perp}}(\mathbf{Y}),
$$

and we will consider  $\| \bm{e}^{(0)} - \bm{e}^{(1)}\|^2$  being large as evidence against  $H_0$ . To understand the distribution of this quantity, the following exercise is crucial.

**Exercise 1.** Let U, W be finite-dimensional subspaces of vector space V, with  $U \subseteq W$ . Show that

$$
W = U \oplus (W \cap U^{\perp}).
$$

To do this, recall from the first homework that for any vector space V,  $V_0 \subseteq V$  a finite-dimensional linear subspace,  $V = V_0 \oplus V_0^{\perp}$ . Now, replace the larger vector space V with W and the subspace  $V_0$  with U. Be careful with the symbol  $\perp$ .

By definition, the orthogonal complement of  $U$ , considered as a linear subspace of the vector space  $W$ , is given by

$$
U^{\perp,W} = \{ w \in W \, | \, \langle w,u \rangle = 0, \forall u \in U \} = W \cap U^{\perp},
$$

where  $U^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement with respect to the larger vector space V. From a result from the first homework, we therefore have  $W = U \oplus U^{\perp,W} = U \oplus (W \cap U^{\perp}).$ 

Note the following corollaries to Exercise 1, which follow from results in the first homework:

(a) 
$$
V_0 \subseteq V
$$
 means  $V = V_0 \oplus (V \cap V_0^{\perp})$ , and  $V^{\perp} \subseteq V_0^{\perp}$  means  $V_0^{\perp} = V^{\perp} \oplus (V \cap V_0^{\perp})$ ;

(b)  $P_V = P_{V_0} + P_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}}$ , and  $P_{V_0^{\perp}} = P_{V^{\perp}} + P_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}}$  (see also Exercise 4 of Lab 4);

(c) 
$$
\dim(V) = \dim(V_0) + \dim(V \cap V_0^{\perp}) \implies \dim(V \cap V_0^{\perp}) = \dim(V) - \dim(V_0).
$$

As a consequence of corollary (b), we find

$$
\|e^{(0)} - e^{(1)}\|^2 = \|P_V(\mathbf{Y}) - P_{V_0}(\mathbf{Y})\|^2 = \|P_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}}(\mathbf{Y})\|^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T \widehat{P}_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}} \mathbf{Y}.
$$

Moreover, again by corollary (b),

$$
\mathbf{Y}^T\widehat{P}_{V\cap V_0^\perp}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}^T\widehat{P}_{V_0^\perp}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T\widehat{P}_{V^\perp}\mathbf{Y} = \|e^{(0)}\|^2 - \|e^{(1)}\|^2.
$$

Let  $\epsilon = \mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ , then

$$
\|\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}-\boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}\|^2=\|P_{V\cap V_0^\perp}(\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\|^2\stackrel{H_0}{=}\|P_{V\cap V_0^\perp}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\|^2=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T\widehat{P}_{V\cap V_0^\perp}\boldsymbol{\epsilon},
$$

since  $\mu \in V_0$  under  $H_0$ . Combining these facts, and using corollary (c), we see that

$$
\frac{\|\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}\|^2}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{H_0}{=} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}\right)^T \widehat{P}_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}\right) \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\widehat{P}_{V \cap V_0^{\perp}})}(0) \equiv \chi^2_{\text{dim}(V) - \text{dim}(V_0)}(0).
$$

**Exercise 2.** In the above setting, show that the unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ ,

$$
\widehat{\sigma}_{u}^{2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - P_{V}(\mathbf{Y})\|^{2}}{n - \dim(V)} = \frac{\|e^{(1)}\|^{2}}{n - \dim(V)},
$$

is independent of  $||e^{(0)} - e^{(1)}||^2$ . Use this to justify the F-test of  $H_0$  based on

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
F_{V,V_0} = \frac{\left(\|e^{(0)}\|^2 - \|e^{(1)}\|^2\right)/(\dim(V) - \dim(V_0))}{\widehat{\sigma}_u^2}.
$$
\n(1)

Note that  $(n - \dim(V))\hat{\sigma}_u^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T(I_n - \hat{P}_V)\mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T(I_n - \hat{P}_V)\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , and  $\|\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}-\boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}\|^2=\mathbf{Y}^T\widehat{P}_{V\cap V_0^\perp}\mathbf{Y}=\|(\widehat{P}_V-\widehat{P}_{V_0})\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^2+2\langle\boldsymbol{\mu},(\widehat{P}_V-\widehat{P}_{V_0})\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\rangle+\|(\widehat{P}_V-\widehat{P}_{V_0})\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2$  $=: g((\overline{P}_V - \overline{P}_{V_0})\epsilon),$ 

so it is sufficient by Fisher-Cochran to show  $(I_n - P_V)(P_V - P_{V_0}) = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ . But this holds as  $(I_n - \widehat{P}_V)(\widehat{P}_V - \widehat{P}_{V_0}) = \widehat{P}_V - \widehat{P}_{V_0} - \widehat{P}_V^2 + \widehat{P}_V \widehat{P}_{V_0} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n},$ 

since  $P_V P_{V_0} = P_{V_0}$ . Therefore, the test statistic  $F_{V_0}$  above can also be written

$$
F_{V,V_0} \stackrel{H_0}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T (\widehat{P}_V - \widehat{P}_{V_0}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\dim(V) - \dim(V_0)} / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T (I_n - \widehat{P}_V) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{n - \dim(V)} \right\} \sim F_{\dim(V) - \dim(V_0), n - \dim(V)}(0).
$$

## 4 Return to linear models

As argued in Section 2 above, the general linear hypothesis test can be stated as a general subspace hypothesis of

$$
H_0: \mathbb{E}_P(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbb{X}) \in V_0 \text{ versus } H_1: \mathbb{E}_P(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbb{X}) \in V \setminus V_0,
$$
\n
$$
(2)
$$

where  $V_0 = \{ \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{N}(A) \} \subseteq V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $V = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X})$ .

**Lemma 1.** Assuming X has full column rank,  $dim(V_0) = d - q$  and  $dim(V) = d$ .

*Proof.* That  $\dim(V) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X})) = d$  is an assumption of the lemma, so we need only show the other equality. By rank-nullity, we know

$$
d = \text{rank}(A) + \dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) \implies \dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = d - q,
$$

since we have assumed rank $(A) = q$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{d-q} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathbb{X}\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{X}\mathbf{b}_{d-q}$  is a basis for  $V_0$ . Clearly these vectors span  $V_0$ , since  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{d-q}$  spans  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ . It remains to establish linear independence. To that end, let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-q} \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$
\mathbf{0}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{d-q} \alpha_j \mathbb{X} \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbb{X} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d-q} \alpha_j \mathbf{b}_j \right).
$$

Then we know  $\sum_{j=1}^{d-q} \alpha_j \mathbf{b}_j \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{X})$ , but by rank-nullity

$$
\dim(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{X})) = d - \text{rank}(\mathbb{X}) = d - d = 0.
$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{X}) = \{\mathbf{0}_d\},\$ so

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d-q} \alpha_j \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{0}_d \implies \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{d-q} = 0,
$$

since  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{d-q}$  are linearly independent. Thus,  $\mathbb{X}\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{X}\mathbf{b}_{d-q}$  are linearly independent, as claimed.  $\Box$  In order to derive the test statistic  $F_{V,V_0}$  in this setting, it remains to find more explicit forms for  $\|\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)} - \boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}\|^2$  and  $\|\boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}\|^2$ . The latter term is easy, since

$$
\|\mathbf{e}^{(1)}\|^2 = \|P_{V^{\perp}}(\mathbf{Y})\|^2 = \|P_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X})^{\perp}}(\mathbf{Y})\|^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T (I_n - \widehat{P}_{\mathbb{X}}) \mathbf{Y} = (n-d)\widehat{\sigma}_u^2.
$$

**Lemma 2.** When  $\text{rank}(\mathbb{X}) = d$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = q$ ,  $\|\mathbf{e}^{(0)} - \mathbf{e}^{(1)}\|^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T \widehat{P}_U \mathbf{Y}$ , where  $U = \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T$ , and  $\widehat{P}_U$  is the matrix corresponding to projection onto  $\mathcal{C}(U)$ .

Proof. (Caution: tricky proof!) Since

$$
\|\mathbf{e}^{(0)}-\mathbf{e}^{(1)}\|^2=\mathbf{Y}^T\widehat{P}_{V\cap V_0^{\perp}}\mathbf{Y},
$$

we need only show that  $\mathcal{C}(U) = V \cap V_0^{\perp}$ .

By the form of U we must have  $\mathcal{C}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}) = V$ , so by Exercise 1,

$$
\mathcal{C}(U) \subseteq V \implies V = \mathcal{C}(U) \oplus (V \cap \mathcal{C}(U)^{\perp}).
$$

But by corollary (a),  $V = V_0 \oplus (V \cap V_0^{\perp})$ . We claim that it is sufficient to show

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
V \cap \mathcal{C}(U)^{\perp} = V_0. \tag{3}
$$

To see this, note that this would imply  $V_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(U)^{\perp} \iff \mathcal{C}(U) \subseteq V_0^{\perp}$ , and

$$
V = V_0 \oplus (V \cap V_0^{\perp}) = V_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}(U).
$$

In turn, this would imply the desired equality  $V \cap V_0^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(U)$ : the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}(U) \subseteq V \cap V_0^{\perp}$  is already shown, and for any  $w \in V \cap V_0^{\perp}$ , its unique representation is  $w = x + z \in V_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}(U)$  for one direct sum, and  $w = 0 + w \in V_0 \oplus (V \cap V_0^{\perp})$  for the other  $-\text{ as } \mathcal{C}(U) \subseteq V \cap V_0^{\perp}$ , the two representations are equal and  $w = z \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ .

We finish by proving [\(3\)](#page-4-0), which is equivalent to  $V \cap \mathcal{N}(U^T) = V_0$ . First, for  $v \in V_0$ , there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{N}(A)$  such that  $v = \mathbb{X}\beta$ . We must then have  $\beta = (\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X})^{-1}\mathbb{X}^T v$ , so  $\mathbf{0}_q = A\beta = U^T v$ , implying  $v \in \mathcal{N}(U^T)$ . As v belongs to V trivially,  $v \in V \cap \mathcal{N}(U^T)$ . Conversely, for  $v \in V \cap \mathcal{N}(U^T)$ , there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $v = \mathbb{X}\beta$  and  $\mathbf{0}_q = U^T v$ . Hence  $\mathbf{0}_q = A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}\beta = A\beta$ , so  $v \in V_0$ .

**Exercise 3.** Given the facts we showed above, derive the form of the test statistic  $F_{V,V_0}$  from [\(1\)](#page-3-0) in this example. How does this compare to the statistic  $F$  derived at the end of Section 1?

Note that  $U^T U = A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Sigma$ , from Section 1, which we know from lecture is a strictly positive definite matrix. Thus

$$
\mathbf{Y}^T \hat{P}_U \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}^T \{ U (U^T U)^{-1} U^T \} \mathbf{Y}
$$
  
= 
$$
\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbb{X} (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T \left( A (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T \right)^{-1} A (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbf{Y}
$$
  
= 
$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T A^T \left( A (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T \right)^{-1} A \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}
$$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, plugging into [\(1\)](#page-3-0), we find

$$
F_{V,V_0} = \frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T A^T \left( A(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} A^T \right)^{-1} A \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} / q}{\mathbf{Y}^T (I_n - \widehat{P}_{\mathbb{X}}) \mathbf{Y} / (n - d)},
$$

which is identical to the F statistic derived in Section 1.